

Indiana University of Pennsylvania
Student Evaluation Instrument

Vetter, Matthew

MVETTER

Fall 2018

ENGL 101 033

1. List things the instructor did in this course that contributed to your learning and should be retained

ENGL 101 033

- the grading contract
- the individual meetings
- IWA's

- The in-class writings and discussions
- conferences with the instructor
- peer reviews for projects
- writing assignments out of class & discussion in class
- feedback for projects

This course was very helpful and helped me to better understand the writing process. I found the IWA's to be helpful because you would learn about a certain topic or genre and then they made good references to refer back to when working on major projects.

- clearly know a lot about writing
- gave ample time for projects
- Very personable; easy to respect

Grading criteria relieved some of my stress this semester

Made sure I knew everything I had to, constantly made sure everyone understands, clearly went over things.

The IWA's and class discussions were very helpful when it came to completing assignments for the class. Dr. Vetter made class interesting which made me want to learn more.

- Went over material clearly
- Help on my writing works and made corrections

- Dr. Vetter talked about important subjects in writing often neglected in my previous courses.
- Dr. Vetter was very positive and engaged.
- He made me enjoy a subject that is normally a hassle.

Dr. Vetter was always very up to the challenge. He gave us reasonable time lines for turning in projects. He also made conference sign-ups to go over our work.
Best Professor I have had at IUP!

- Explained assignments very well and gave examples
- gave enough time for essays

The grading contract was great
Group project

Indiana University of Pennsylvania
Student Evaluation Instrument

Vetter, Matthew

MVETTER

Fall 2018

ENGL 101 033

We had a lot of fun in this class
great environment, met some new
friends, groupwork, all the cool activities
was a great, fun class,

Thank you.

- Group talk
- Conferences

Provided readings to help improve writing. gave helpful feedback
on essays and writing assignments. gave reminders of what is
expected from this course.

Completed projects, papers, and presentations

- discussions
- personal meetings
- examples
- peer review
- IWAs

- Dr. Vetter is passionate about writing, and leads
by example to better us all as writers
in our future studies.

- interesting class discussions
- helpful/ useful activities and reading
assignments which gave me a
better understanding of
criteria.

2. List things the instructor should change about this course to enhance student learning.

ENGL 101 033

- more examples
- more in class writing assignments

1 really didn't see any benefit in project
3. We didn't learn a lot and group
work is always frustrating. I think it could
be replaced with a different project
that still focused on genre

- Less group work
- More in class writing time (for discussion)

Indiana University of Pennsylvania
Student Evaluation Instrument

Vetter, Matthew

MVETTER

Fall 2018

ENGL 101 033

Some of your articles were hard to follow, be more concise.

The IWA's were a little much, but the only thing I'd change.

The grading scale should be number based when it comes to assignments so I could have a better understanding of my grade in the class.

• More writing and less typing

- grading contract should be more clear
- should give better feedback on assignments

Nothing!

- Nothing

Nothing I loved this class and instructor

Different Projects
more beneficial IWA's
more group work.

N/A

Honestly, nothing.

Nothing Really

Indiana University of Pennsylvania
Student Evaluation Instrument

Vetter, Matthew

MVETTER

Fall 2018

ENGL 101 033

-grading

- less reading assignments

Indiana University of Pennsylvania
Student Evaluation Instrument

Vetter, Matthew

MVETTER

Fall 2018

ENGL 730 001

1. List things the instructor did in this course that contributed to your learning and should be retained

ENGL 730 001

The blog posts
In class discussions

n/a

The use of technology greatly enriched the class. Dr. Vetter's enthusiasm and concern for each student was highly encouraging.

- Organization of classroom.
- Clarity of requirements.
- Effective feedback.
- Regular communication.

The instructor helped me in thinking as a writing teacher. He encouraged me to adopt new ideas and practices to apply in classrooms to improve students' writing skills. Also, he changed the way I used to think about writing through the assigned readings, I also became interested in technology and its effectiveness in writing class.

2. List things the instructor should change about this course to enhance student learning.

ENGL 730 001

Nothing. This class is perfect!

n/a

Better prep for presentations
give students more background on expectations before assignment is assigned for presentations

Indiana University of Pennsylvania
Student Evaluation Instrument

Vetter, Matthew
ENGL 730 001

MVETTER

Fall 2018

Indiana University of Pennsylvania
Student Evaluation Instrument

Vetter, Matthew

MVETTER

Fall 2018

ENGL 830 001

1. List things the instructor did in this course that contributed to your learning and should be retained

ENGL 830 001

Dr. Vetter has provided me with feedback on my assignments which helped me a lot to understand what I was doing better.

Dr. Vetter got everyone the same amount of time to contribute to class discussions.

I am grateful that Dr. Vetter is a very caring professor. He helped me with assignments with his comments and suggestions. He responded to my e-mails very early and accepted my appointment requests.

I especially enjoyed the way he integrates technology into classroom.

Dr. Vetter did an amazing job at offering support via emails and face-to-face conferences. He established a very personal and supportive role in this class and I feel very comfortable reaching out to him. He also planned very helpful workshops in class for us to get feedback. I also really enjoyed the blog posts that we wrote weekly — it was a great opportunity for me to write to think & learn.

Dr. Vetter is positive and encouraging. He showed us the agenda for the day each class. He always made people feel comfortable to ask questions & share comments. I also liked his quick, short, imperative Bloom's taxonomy verbs. The reading I did this semester caused me to reflect and to think in new ways. It will inform my future teaching.

He also asked us at the beginning of the semester to write down our favorite song. He made a playlist & each week when we walked in, one of those songs was playing. Dr. Vetter works hard to have positive relationships w/ his students. 😊

The use of the textbooks, especially "Naming What We Know" and Bean's book was great. I really appreciated the focus in Bean on the writing-critical thinking link. Also, I appreciated the assignments and the room for process in the schedule. In general, I enjoyed working with Dr. Vetter and this class.

- Provided detailed and useful feedback
- Was willing and available to talk after class or during office hours or communicate via email
- Reminded class of the schedule and work due
- Tried a variety of activities
- Shared personal experiences and stories with a class

- The design of the course assignments: weekly blog, presentation, teaching portfolio
- Large & small group discussion
- The clear feedback & grading chart for each major assignment

2. List things the instructor should change about this course to enhance student learning.

ENGL 830 001

—

- instructor could lead more discussion/lecture about the course reading
- maybe it's a good idea to have teaching practice for this course

My only suggestion would be about the presentations. I suggest cutting the introduction to the pedagogies and only focus on an activity + discussion.

- Sometimes, I wanted to see more confidence from the instructor
- Perhaps, the instructor could have students work with a variety of people; sometimes, I felt that we didn't mingle enough
- Sometimes, in our presentations, we seemed to be presenting more than facilitating a discussion

Indiana University of Pennsylvania
Student Evaluation Instrument

Vetter, Matthew

MVETTER

Fall 2018

ENGL 830 001

No changes please.

This is not a criticism of Dr. Vetter, but the combined MA/PHD structure was a challenge. I felt that the discussion would've been richer in an PhD only class. Also, more focus on the behavioral issues that can come up in class (ie. classroom management) would be great!

Nothing